CASE TYPE: CIVIL OTHER

DISTRICT COURT

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

STATE OF MINNESOTA

COUNTY OF HENNEPIN

In Re: Wayzata Home Products, LLC and

cliqstudios.com LLC

Court File No.: 27-CV-20-4326

Judge: David L. Piper

DECLARATION OF SAMUEL J.H. SIGELMAN IN SUPPORT OF FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (REVISED AMOUNTS)

I, Samuel J.H. Sigelman, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am an adult resident of the State of Minnesota and a Director of Lighthouse Management Group, Inc. ("Lighthouse" or "Assignee"). I make this Declaration of my own personal knowledge, unless otherwise noted, and in support of the Assignee's First Omnibus Objection to Claims (Revised Amounts) (the "Objection"). I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein, unless otherwise indicated.
- 2. On March 13, 2020, in accordance Minnesota Statutes § 577.12, Wayzata Home Products, LLC, and its subsidiaries, including cliqstudios.com LLC (together with the other subsidiaries, Square Cabinets LLC f/k/a Itasca Cabinets LLC and Wayzata Cabinetry LLC. collectively the "Assignors"), as the assignors, and Lighthouse, as the assignee, entered into an Assignment for Benefit of Creditors (the "Assignment").
- 3. Pursuant to the Assignment, the Assignors assigned to Assignee all of Assignors' property, including, but not limited to, all real property, fixtures, goods, stock, inventory, equipment, furniture, furnishings, accounts receivable, general intangibles, intellectual property, bank deposits, cash, promissory notes, cash value and proceeds of insurance policies, claims, and

demands belonging to the Assignors, wherever the property may be located (hereinafter, collectively the "Assignment Property").

- 4. Pursuant to the Assignment, Assignee agreed to take possession of and administer the Assignment Property, liquidate the Assignment Property, collect all claims and demands assigned as and to the extent they may be collectible, and pay and discharge all reasonable expenses, costs, and disbursements in connection with the execution and administration of the assignment from the proceeds of the liquidations and collections, in accordance with Minnesota Statutes, Chapters 576 and 577.
- 5. As part of its duties, the Assignee proposed procedures for the resolution and treatment of claims against the assignment estate. On June 6, 2020, the Court entered that certain Order Granting Assignee's Motion to Establish a Claims Process (the "Claims Order").
- 6. The Claims Order required the Assignee to prepare an initial schedule of claims that sets forth the known creditors of the Assignor and the amounts owing to such creditors based upon the books and records of the Assignor entities (the "Preliminary Schedule").
- 7. In order to prepare the Preliminary Schedule, and in accordance with Minnesota Statutes § 576.49, Subdivision 3, the Assignee relied on the books and records of the Assignor entities to identify all potential creditors of the Assignor. Based on these books and records, the Assignee compiled the Preliminary Schedule of all known creditors that included the following information: the creditor's name, the creditor's address, the creditor's phone number (if available), the creditor's e-mail address (if available), the Assignor entity against which the creditor had a claim, and the amount of the claim against the particular Assignor.
- 8. The Preliminary Schedule included claims that were supported by the books and records of the Assignor, and in particular the Assignor's accounts payable records. The Preliminary

Schedule also included creditors with possible claims of unknown amounts. In cases where the Assignor's books and records were unclear as to a specific amount due to a potential creditor, the liability to the creditor on the Proof of Claim and Instructions was listed at \$0.

- 9. In addition to known and potential creditors, the Assignee included all Assignor employees, to whom liability would not have been included in the accounts payable records, on the Preliminary Schedule. In the event the Assignee had no evidence of an amount due to an employee, the liability to the employee on the Proof of Claim Form and Instructions was listed at \$0.
- 10. Once the Preliminary Schedule was prepared, the Assignee then sent those claimants a Notice of Claim, Proof of Claim Form, and Proof of Claim Instructions (as those terms are defined in the Claims Order).
- 11. In order to notify claimants as required by the Claims Order, the Assignee provided the Preliminary Schedule to Donlin, Recano & Company, Inc. ("Donlin"), the Assignee's claims processing vendor. Donlin sent out the Notice of Claims, Proof of Claim Form, and Proof of Claim Instructions (the "Claim Notice") on June 29, 2020.
- 12. As a matter of efficiency the entire Preliminary Schedule was not served on all claimants, rather the relevant information was sent to each claimant on a per claim basis. Using the information in the Preliminary Schedule, Donlin prepared and delivered the Proof of Claim Form and Proof of Claim Instructions to send to all claimants on that schedule.
- 13. The Proof of Claim Form and Proof of Claim Instructions delivered by Donlin to claimants were pre-populated with the following information: the claimant's name, the claimant's address, the claimant's phone number (if available), the claimant's e-mail address (if available),

the Assignor entity against which it had a claim, and the amount of the claim against the particular Assignor based on the books and records of the Assignor.

- 14. Thus each claimant listed on the Preliminary Schedule received a Proof of Claim Form and Proof of Claim Instructions that was already filled out with the relevant claim information, including the liable Assignor entity and the amount of the claim.
- 15. Those claimants who received a pre-populated Proof of Claim Form and Proof of Claim Instructions based on the Preliminary Schedule were not required to file a claim if they did not object to the claim as listed on the Preliminary Schedule.
- 16. However, if a claimant disputed the claim as set forth on the Preliminary Schedule, or was not included on the Preliminary Schedule, the claimant was required to file a proof of claim on or before July 29, 2020, which was 30 days after the date that the Assignee sent the Claims Notice (the "Claims Deadline").
- 17. The Proof of Claim Form and Instructions directed claimants to include copies of all documents in support of their claims when filing their claims. The Instructions explicitly stated, "[y]ou must attach to the Proof of Claim form all documents that show the Debtor owes the amount claimed. If documents are not available, you must attach an explanation as to why they are not available."
- 18. On August 28, 2020, the Assignee filed a schedule of all claims, which included all claims included on the Preliminary Schedule as well as all claims filed with the Assignee (the "Schedule of All Claims").
- 19. The Schedule of All Claims represents claims that were included on the Preliminary Schedule as well as claims that were filed with the Assignee. If a claimant filed a proof of claim for a claim that was already included on the Preliminary Schedule, only the filed claim was

included on the Schedule of All Claims and the originally scheduled claim was omitted so as to avoid unnecessary duplication.

- 20. As of the Claims Deadline, the Assignee received approximately 150 claims filed against one or more of the Assignor entities.
- 21. The Assignee reviewed those claims, as well as late filed claims, including the supporting documentation, to determine and verify the amount and validity of the claim as filed. As part of that process the Assignee reconciled the filed claims with the Assignor's books and records, past business practices, and consultation with staff.
- 22. Based on its review, the Assignee has identified certain claims that are objectionable, 28 of which the filed claim amount provided by the claimant has been revised by the Assignee because the filed claim amount was not consistent with all books, records and documentation available to the Assignee (the "Revised Claims"). A list of the Revised Claims is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 23. Each of the Revised Claims are similarly situated in that the claimant asserting the Submitted Claim Amount failed to provide the Assignee with adequate support for the validity or amount claimed therein after Assignee's review of all books, records and documents available to it.
- 24. As such, upon Assignee's review of the books, records and documentation available, Assignee has determined the Submitted Claim Amount should be changed to the Revised Claim Amount, as shown on **Exhibit A** attached hereto.
- 25. At the conclusion of the claims resolution process, the Assignee intends on filing a schedule of claims that will reflect any changes to claims that have been made during the claim objection process and will be used for final distribution.

- 26. Throughout the claim objection process the Assignee anticipates an ongoing dialogue with claimants regarding objections.
- 27. If a claimant whose claim is the subject of this Objection provides the Assignee with adequate support or evidence of the validity and amount of its claim prior to the hearing on the Objection, the Assignee reserves the right to withdraw its objection in the event and to the extent that the support for the applicable claim is adequate.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 25 day of September, 2020, at New Brighton, Minnesota.

Samuel J.H. Sigelman

Exhibit A
Schedule of Revised Claim Amounts

No.	Claim #	Claimant Name	Submitted Claim Amount	Revised Claim Amount
1	5515.00	Angela Ruble	\$483.20	\$303.06
2	5228.00	Barbara Wright	\$0.00	\$848.40
3	5708.00	Cambria Surfaces Plymouth	\$3,414.35	\$3,314.32
4	5124.00	Catherine Yearick	\$5,602.00	\$5,214.00
5	6282.00	CDW Direct	\$29,758.10	\$2,847.22
6	5275.00	Coherent Solutions, Inc.	\$60,247.04	\$54,358.00
7	6141.00	David Spicer	\$799.65	\$749.65
8	6212.00	Great Hill Partners	\$0.00	\$5,281,313.85
9	5564.00	Hardware Resources Inc	\$2,838.84	\$2,677.88
10	5111.00	Te-Consulting Inc.	\$43,101.76	\$41,456.76
11	5773.00	Jared Sedam	\$1,902.11	\$1,461.36
12	6182.00	Jennifer Goldfeder	\$3,500.00	\$209.00
13	6007.00	Jennifer Puckett	\$25,163.60	\$200.00
14	5018.00	Jesse Kaddy	\$8,715.00	\$1,361.25
15	6353.00	Jessica Pigeon	\$0.00	\$10,009.34
16	6012.00	Kay Gill	\$2,472.00	\$336.00
17	5212.00	Kristin Winkler	\$20,368.10	\$155.82
18	6151.00	Lex Petras	\$0.00	\$6,729.30
19	6327.00	Michele Lomas	\$0.00	\$3,561.07
20	5587.00	Missouri Dept of Revenue	\$4,762.89	\$177.04
21	6351.00	One Stop Mailing LLC	\$5,310.39	\$5,293.01
22	6021.00	Peter Unger	\$42,400.00	\$2,113.82
23	6228.00	Shirai Vietnam Co., LTD.	\$126,786.62	\$92,551.59
24	5160.00	Thompson Freight Co	\$68,706.09	\$125,578.29
25	6072.01	W78th 5350, LLC	\$6,548,027.30	\$748,374.94
26	6073.01	Wayzata Connersville RE, LLC	\$3,975,111.47	\$445,330.87
27	5234.00	Werner Enterprises, Inc.	\$223,228.46	\$218,668.22
28	5675.00	William Hoeppner	\$2,456.44	\$1,570.92
	Total		\$11,205,155.41	\$7,056,764.98